Rich presence: RPID, CIPID, future-presence

draft-ietf-simple-rpid draft-ietf-simple-cipid draft-ietf-simple-future

Henning Schulzrinne Columbia University

with V. Gurbani, P. Kyzivat, J. Rosenberg

Issues

- Changes since last IETF
- Open issues
 - RPID: none
 - CIPID: <card>
 - future-status: model, past only?

Changes since last IETF

- Split into three documents:

 - _ CIPID: <card>, <homepage>, <icon>, <map>*
 - _ future-status
- Changed <idle>*
 - _ <idle since="2004-02-28T18:02:15Z"/>
- Finalized 'since' and 'until' mechanism for RPID
 - describes validity of elements
 - must bracket tuple timestamp (present)
- Updated schemas*



CIPID: <card> vs. <homepage>

- <card> contains URL to vCard or LDIF
- vCard contains homepage URL (url:)
- duplicates < homepage > element
 - but not all <card> elements may allow this
- awkward if only the homepage is to be conveyed
 - need data: URL with lots of syntactical noise
- recommendation: leave both since possible duplication is harmless



CIPID: by value or by reference

- Currently, only reference to vCard or LDIF record
- Can include by value using data: URL or MIME URLs (mid:)
- MIME URLs are awkward since they require that CPIM message is multipart-MIME capable – specified?
- But reference more bandwidth-friendly if repeated in each NOTIFY
 - CPIM model seems to favor full state
 - can use partial updates to avoid problem



CIPID: by value or by reference

- Solution 0:
 - make do with data: and mid:
- Solution 1:
 - <card type="text/url">http://foo.com"</card>
- Solution 2:
 - <cardurl>http://foo.com</cardurl>
 - <card type="MIME type">vcard or LDIF data/card>

future-status*

- RPID can indicate time ranges, but must be valid at <timestamp> (~ present)
 - otherwise, plain PIDF implementations would misinterpret historical or future status as present status
- Useful to have a separate mechanism to indicate predicted presence value
 - e.g., from calendar information or sensor
 - allows watcher to plan communication
 - allows coordination: "when is everyone likely to be available in the next few hours?"

future-status



- Should we add <past-status> or allow <futurestatus> to handle this? Yes
- Useful if only old information is available, but it's better than no information
 - "was on AA167 until three hours ago"

Conclusion

- Believed to be ready for WG last call after one more round
 - but may need to define semantic policy rules for RPID, CIPID and future-status
- Ourrent solutions for open issues are workable and we could add suggestions later
 - <past-status>
 - <card> value