Issue68

Title mrcpv2 namespace usage
Priority bug   **Status** chatting
Superseder   **Nosy List** dburke, dburnett, eburger, oran, sarvi
Assigned To dburnett   Topics

Created on **2006-03-24.20:20:40** by **dburnett**, last changed **2006-08-16.16:09:23** by **dburke**.

Messages

msg166 (view)   **Author: dburke**   **Date: 2006-08-16.16:09:23**

```
See also comments from Andrew Wahbe –
http://www1.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/speechsc/current/msg01902.html
```

msg121 (view)   **Author: dburnett**   **Date: 2006-03-24.20:20:40**

```
Supercedes Issue 65-8.

From Issue 65-8:
8. Examples missing the xmlns in NLSML in VERIFICATION-COMPLETE message
bodies. Actually, shouldn't the  http://www.ietf.org/xml/ns/mrcpv2 namespace
apply to all NLSML documents throughout the specification not just those
associated with verification?

From Dave Burke's March 21 email:
o Namespace: We have a namespace for enrollment and verification elements
but the rest are assigned to no namespace. That's because NLSML is not a
completed standard and has no namespace defined. MRCP has imported and
extended NLSML based on a discontinued working draft from the W3C. I believe
MRCP's version of NLSML should live completely in the MRCP namespace.
Furthermore, a version attribute really should be added to indicate the
version of MRCP NLSML. Thus the basic structure should be:

<?xml version="1.0"?>
<result version="1.0" xmlns="http://www.ietf.org/xml/ns/mrcpv2">
    ...
</result>
```

History

Date	User	Action	Args
2006-08-16 16:09:23	dburke	set	messages: + msg166
2006-03-24 20:20:41	dburnett	create	